

PERFECT COMPLEXES OF TWISTED SHEAVES AND DG-ENHANCEMENTS

FRANCESCO GENOVESE (UNIVERSITEIT ANTWERPEN)

JOINT WORK (IN PROGRESS) WITH RICCARDO MOSCHETTI AND GIORGIO SCATTAREGGIA

Francesco.Genovese@uantwerpen.be

TWISTED SHEAVES ...

• In algebraic geometry one encounters problems which can be solved *locally*, but those local solutions *don't glue nicely*. One can try to understand the (co-homological) obstruction to that failure of gluing. This leads to the notion of *twisted sheaf*. A reference here is [1].

• Let X be a scheme, and let $\alpha \in H_{\text{ét}}^2(X, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$. We choose an étale covering $\mathcal{U} = \{U_i \rightarrow X\}$ such that α can be represented by a Čech 2-cocycle $(a_{ijk})_{i,j,k}$ on \mathcal{U} . An α -twisted sheaf $\mathcal{F} = (\mathcal{F}_i, \varphi_{ij})$ is then given by the following data:

- For all $i \in I$, a sheaf \mathcal{F}_i of \mathcal{O}_{U_i} -modules.
- Isomorphisms $\varphi_{ij}: \mathcal{F}_j|_{U_{ij}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{F}_i|_{U_{ij}}$ satisfying twisted cocycle conditions:

$$\varphi_{ii} = 1, \varphi_{ji} = \varphi_{ij}^{-1}, \varphi_{ik}|_{U_{ijk}} = a_{ijk}(\varphi_{ij} \circ \varphi_{jk})|_{U_{ijk}}.$$

The definition can be seen to be independent of the choice of the representative of α and the covering \mathcal{U} .

• More generally, let X be a DM-stack, and let $\alpha \in H^2(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$. Let $U_0 \rightarrow X$ be an étale atlas, and set: $U_1 = U_0 \times_X U_0$, $U_2 = U_0 \times_X U_0 \times_X U_0$, with natural projections $p_0, p_1: U_1 \rightarrow U_0$ and $p_{01}, p_{12}, p_{02}: U_2 \rightarrow U_1$. We choose a cocycle $a \in \Gamma(U_2, \mathcal{O}_{U_2}^*)$ which represents α . An α -twisted sheaf \mathcal{F} is then given by the following data:

- A sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 of \mathcal{O}_{U_0} -modules.
- A gluing datum $\varphi: p_0^* \mathcal{F}_0 \xrightarrow{\sim} p_1^* \mathcal{F}_0$ satisfying a twisted cocycle condition:

$$p_{02}^* \varphi = a(p_{12}^* \varphi \circ p_{01}^* \varphi).$$

... AND THEIR (DERIVED) CATEGORIES

• We denote by $\text{Mod}(X, \alpha)$ the abelian category of α -twisted sheaves. Every definition for ordinary sheaves which is “local” can be extended to α -twisted sheaves. For example, a sheaf $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}(X, \alpha)$ is *quasi-coherent* if the underlying sheaf \mathcal{F}_0 of \mathcal{O}_{U_0} -modules is quasi-coherent. Given $\mathcal{F} \in \text{Mod}(X, \alpha)$ and $\mathcal{G} \in \text{Mod}(X, \beta)$, we may define in this manner the tensor product and the sheaf hom:

$$\mathcal{F} \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G} \in \text{Mod}(X, \alpha\beta), \quad \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}, \mathcal{G}) \in \text{Mod}(X, \alpha^{-1}\beta),$$

and also “twisted” pushforward and pullback functors.

• We denote by $\mathcal{D}(X, \alpha)$ the derived category of $\text{Mod}(X, \alpha)$, namely the localization of the homotopy category of complexes $\mathfrak{K}(X, \alpha)$ along quasi-isomorphisms. We also denote by $\mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)$ its full subcategory of complexes with quasi-coherent cohomology.

• A complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}(X, \alpha)$ is *K-injective* if $\mathfrak{K}(X, \alpha)(\mathcal{A}^\bullet, \mathcal{F}^\bullet) = 0$ for any acyclic complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}(X, \alpha)$. It is *K-flat* if $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{A}^\bullet$ is acyclic for any acyclic complex $\mathcal{A}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}(X, \beta)$, for any $\beta \in H^2(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$.

Lemma. *Every complex \mathcal{F}^\bullet has a K-injective resolution $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \rightarrow R(\mathcal{F}^\bullet)$ and a K-flat resolution $Q(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \rightarrow \mathcal{F}^\bullet$.*

Thanks to K-injective and K-flat resolutions, we can define derived functors just as in the untwisted setting, for instance:

$$\mathcal{F}^\bullet \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{G}^\bullet = Q(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X} \mathcal{G}^\bullet, \\ \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{G}^\bullet) = \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, R(\mathcal{G}^\bullet)).$$

PERFECT COMPLEXES AND COMPACT OBJECTS

• Following [3], a complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X)$ is *perfect* if for any commutative ring R and any smooth morphism $f: \text{Spec}(R) \rightarrow X$, the complex of R -modules $\mathbb{R}\Gamma(\text{Spec}(R), \mathbb{L}f^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet))$ is perfect. We denote by $\text{Perf}(X) \subseteq \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X)$ the full subcategory of perfect complexes.

• A complex of α -twisted sheaves $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)$ is *perfect* if, given an étale atlas $p: U_0 \rightarrow X$ where α is represented, we have $\mathbb{L}p^*(\mathcal{F}^\bullet) \in \text{Perf}(U_0)$.

• A complex $\mathcal{F}^\bullet \in \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)$ is *compact* if for any family of objects $(\mathcal{E}_k^\bullet)_k$ in $\mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)$, the natural morphism $\bigoplus_k \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \mathcal{E}_k^\bullet) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(\mathcal{F}^\bullet, \bigoplus_k \mathcal{E}_k^\bullet)$ is an isomorphism.

• It is known [3] that if X is *concentrated*, i.e. quasi-compact, quasi-separated and such that \mathcal{O}_X is a compact object, then perfect complexes and compact objects coincide: $\text{Perf}(X) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X)^c$.

Theorem. *Let X be a concentrated DM-stack, and let $\alpha \in H^2(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$. Then, the perfect complexes of α -twisted sheaves are the compact objects of $\mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)$:*

$$\text{Perf}(X, \alpha) = \mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha)^c.$$

Idea of proof. Assuming that \mathcal{P}^\bullet is perfect, we may prove that it is *dualizable*, namely there is a natural isomorphism $\mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet, \mathcal{O}_X) \otimes_{\mathcal{O}_X}^{\mathbb{L}} \mathcal{P}^\bullet \cong \mathbb{R}\text{Hom}(\mathcal{P}^\bullet, \mathcal{P}^\bullet)$. From this, using that \mathcal{O}_X is compact, we conclude that \mathcal{P}^\bullet is compact.

On the other hand, if \mathcal{P}^\bullet is compact we can show that it is *locally compact*, namely it is compact if restricted to the chosen étale atlas $U_0 \rightarrow X$. We are now in the untwisted setting, and we may conclude that \mathcal{P}^\bullet is perfect. \square

DG-ENHANCEMENTS

• A *dg-enhancement* of a triangulated category \mathcal{T} is a pretriangulated dg-category \mathcal{A} such that $H^0(\mathcal{A}) \cong \mathcal{T}$. The typical question one asks is: does a given \mathcal{T} have a dg-enhancement? Is this enhancement *unique*, in the sense that given dg-enhancements \mathcal{A} and \mathcal{A}' , they are quasi-equivalent as dg-categories?

• If \mathcal{G} is a Grothendieck abelian category, then $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})$ has a unique enhancement [2, Theorem A].

• Furthermore [2, Theorem B], assume that \mathcal{G} has a small set \mathcal{A} of generators such that:

- \mathcal{A} is closed under finite coproducts.

– Every object of \mathcal{A} is a Noetherian object in \mathcal{G} .

– If $f: A \rightarrow A'$ is an epimorphism of \mathcal{G} with $A, A' \in \mathcal{A}$, then $\ker f \in \mathcal{A}$.

– For every $A \in \mathcal{A}$ there exists $N(A) > 0$ such that $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})(A, A'[N(A)]) = 0$ for every $A' \in \mathcal{A}$.

Then, the subcategory of compact objects $\mathcal{D}(\mathcal{G})^c$ has a unique enhancement.

• In particular [2, Proposition 6.10], if X is a Noetherian concentrated algebraic stack with quasi-finite affine diagonal and such that $\text{QCoh}(X)$ is generated by a set contained in $\text{Coh}(X) \cap \text{Perf}(X)$, then $\text{Perf}(X)$ has a unique dg-enhancement.

Theorem (Under construction!). *Let X be a Noetherian concentrated DM-stack with quasi-finite affine diagonal and such that $\text{QCoh}(X)$ is generated by a set contained in $\text{Coh}(X) \cap \text{Perf}(X)$. Moreover, let $\alpha \in H^2(X_{\text{ét}}, \mathcal{O}_X^*)$ and assume that there exists a locally free α -twisted sheaf of finite rank. Then, $\text{Perf}(X, \alpha)$ has a unique dg-enhancement.*

Idea of proof. From the assumptions on X , we deduce that $\mathcal{D}_{\text{qc}}(X, \alpha) = \mathcal{D}(\text{QCoh}(X, \alpha))$. We can now apply [2, Theorem B], recalling that perfect complexes of twisted sheaves coincide with the compact objects. \square

ESSENTIAL BIBLIOGRAPHY

[1] Andrei Horia Caldararu, *Derived categories of twisted sheaves on Calabi-Yau manifolds*, ProQuest LLC, Ann Arbor, MI, 2000, Thesis (Ph.D.)–Cornell University.

[2] Alberto Canonaco and Paolo Stellari, *Uniqueness of dg enhancements for the derived cate-*

gory of a Grothendieck category, J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS) **20** (2018), no. 11, 2607–2641.

[3] Jack Hall and David Rydh, *Perfect complexes on algebraic stacks*, Compos. Math. **153** (2017), no. 11, 2318–2367.